



**METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT PAVEMENT ENGINEERS COUNCIL  
2010 SURVEY RESULTS**

**28 Surveys**

Do you anticipate adopting and using MGPEC Specifications in the future?

7 Yes  
0 No

MGPEC currently has Task Forces investigating subgrade rehabilitation, smoothness specifications and life cycle analysis. What other Task Forces would you be interested in? Add details if appropriate.

4 Pavement Design  
4 Asphalt Specifications  
4 Concrete Specifications  
8 Subgrade Preparation  
7 Warranties and Pay Incentives/Disincentives  
9 Geotextiles  
8 Crack Seal/Crack Fillers  
1 Training Programs  
0 Marketing  
5 ADA  
5 ADA  
7 Sustainability  
1 Others Green pavements – recycled asphalt shingles, warm mix, porous asphalt, ground tire rubber  
1 Others Pavement preservation and QA/QC scope

9 Yes (List available upon request)  
15 No

If yes, what type? Road rehab techniques, asphalt specs, subgrade prep  
If yes, what type? Green pavements, shingles, developing specs  
If yes, what type? Geotextiles  
If yes, what type? Rehabilitation, preservation or pavement management

How can MGPEC improve to better serve your needs?

Provide a list of communities who use MGPEC specs  
Develop pavement design software and distribut approved criteria. Get specifications approved by CDOT as standard special provisions for local agencies  
MGPEC is great  
Standard for ADA ramps  
Make electronic copies of specifications available to consultants working on MGPEC projects  
Develop a thorough QA/QC program/procedure scope that a small agency can put out for bid to geotechs that ensures all procedures implemented by CDOT canbe achieved by local agencies. Write-in

Which one of the following best describes your employment?

12 Local Government – Engineering  
3 Local Government – Maintenance  
2 State or Federal Government  
4 Consultant  
2 Private Industry  
1 Industry Representative  
1 Other Local government recycling  
1 Other Local government resource conservation

If you are employed by a local government agency, are you interested in serving on the steering committee or do you know of an eligible person who might be?

Name and Contact Information \_\_\_\_\_

**METROPOLITAN GOVERNMENT PAVEMENT ENGINEERS COUNCIL  
2010 SURVEY RESULTS**

**28 Surveys**

Additional Comments or concerns that you would like MGPEC to address?

Make it more aware of why local agencies should be adopting the MGPEC specs – i.e. what are the benefits

I am extremely aggravated that the MGPEC specs are not available on the web. I don't think that it is wise to require specification that are not available. If I do not have access to the specs as a designer, how can I expect the contractor to have access to the specifications? CDOT and FHWA publish their specs. Sure, charge for hard copies, but PLEASE make the specs available online.

None to think of

Do concrete sealers work? Lignite

De icing chemicals and concrete

If filling out this survey at the Annual Meeting, please give us your opinion of the meeting. Did you feel the topics were interesting and presented well? Did you like the format/time/length/location of the meeting? Do you have suggestions on how we can improve the Annual Meeting? What topics would you like to see covered in the future? Additional comments/suggestions: \_\_\_\_\_

Yes, the presentations were very well presented and it was neat to see new technology.

Good topics. Always like the "meatier" presentations.

I like the location and format – breaks are spaced great. All topics were good this year. I had a hard time deciding on which sessions to attend Meeting was great..

Good, diverse topics. Rehab & maintenance are key topics

Good meeting

The sessions that I attended were very informative

Good meeting. I could see a one full day being beneficial. Lots to cover in little time.

Well presented.

All went well and topics fulfilled needs.

Been to numerous meetings. Always informative.